Should queer men be allowed in Boy Scouts?

The Boy Scouts of America organisation is under pressure to admit homosexuals. Why should any parent object to his or her son being mentored by a homosexual? If you have to ask that question you're not brainwashed, you're brain dead.

The organised homosexual movement alludes to its adherents as *gay*. Well guess what, homosexuality is not *gay*. Male homosexuality is a particularly depraved lifestyle, not simply because of the well-documented promiscuity of so many homosexuals, but because the practise of sodomy is inherently unhealthy. It abuses, damages and weakens the body, especially the immune system. That was true even before the advent of AIDS. You think not? Check out the medical literature, that's the only place you'll find this irrefutable evidence now thanks to the mainstream media caving in to the tyranny of the homosexual lobby, which by the way is far from exclusively homosexual.

Last year, a short BBC documentary series visited a Manchester clinic that treats sexually transmitted diseases. One of its regular clientele was a young homosexual who worked in the queer porn industry. He was told he had gonorrhea of the anus and both gonorrhea and chlamydia of the throat. If that doesn't horrify and sicken you to your stomach, it should, though it probably

won't surprise you if you learn this character had racked up 80 *sexual partners* since his last appointment. For young homosexuals, this number is by no means exceptional; the diseases are not an optional extra; if you play in filth you will end up covered in it.

The people who run the Boy Scouts of America consider this sort of individual unsuitable material for their organisation, and thus stand accused of discrimination and, shock, horror, *homophobia*.

To discriminate means simply to choose; if you have no choice, you have no freedom. The Boy Scouts of America is a private organisation, and as such it has the right to choose whom it accepts as members and whom it does not. This is not a civil rights issue much less a legal or human rights one. It is not like a hospital doctor refusing to operate on a patient because he doesn't like his sexual orientation, his race, his religion or his conviction for wife-beating. If the Boy Scouts don't want to admit homosexuals to their leadership cadre, good for them. This is an organisation whose oath is: Duty to God and country, Duty to other people, and Duty to self.

Duty to God requires one to believe in God. Atheists need not apply. Duty to other people could exclude a lot of people. Duty to self can easily exclude homosexuals. A man who abuses his own body the way homosexuals abuse theirs could easily fall into that category. In any case, why would any adult homosexual want to work with underage boys? There are two easily discernible reasons: personal abuse, and indoctrination of the young. Is that unfair, *homophobic*, hysterical? No, it is just plain common sense. No right thinking parent would want his or

her young son being influenced by homosexuals to "come out" if the kid is having difficulty with his sexuality growing up, as many boys do when perhaps they find themselves attracted to the slightly older boy who is good looking, liked by everyone, intelligent and always does everything better than you. Homosexuals must not be permitted to use the boy scouts or any other organisation as a recruiting ground for their perversion. And perversion it is, once even most homosexuals realised and acknowledged that. Magnus Hirschfeld was a pioneer of tolerance for homosexuality and other sexual perversions, but he realised what he was and made no attempt to deny it (although he didn't acknowledge his homosexuality publicly). Quentin Crisp realised the dirty trick nature had played on him, and was not afraid to speak out in defence of the Chief Rabbi Emeritus Lord Jakobovits who made an *halachic* pronouncement about the so-called *gay* gene. Crisp felt it would be better if homosexuals were not born - the reality is of course that they are largely made. The campaigning organisation OutRage! was outraged with Jakobovits, but Crisp realised he was talking common sense. Do we want people to be born blind, deaf or otherwise disabled? Of course not, likewise the elimination of homosexuality would not be an act of genocide but one that would benefit society as a whole. Crisp died in 1999 aged 90, an extraordinarily advanced age for a male homosexual, and something he would not have achieved had he not remained celibate for half a century.

There is though another, common sense reason for homosexuals to be excluded from the boy scouts or any other profession or calling that has contact with underage boys, for their own protection.

Kids today are savvy, and as the recent Jimmy Savile affair has shown, given the encouragement or simply the opportunity, they will make false allegations against adults. Keeping homosexuals away from underage boys has the same rationale as keeping young girls away from normal men, and for segregating the armed forces.

The politically correct *feminist* lamebrains who have subverted Western culture have managed to integrate women into the men's army, and now these same halfwits are complaining because there is an epidemic of rape, sexual abuse and sexual harassment in the forces. Can they really be so stupid as not to see the connection?

Finally, it is not as if homosexuals don't already have equal rights. They are certainly not barred from certain professions, including politics and the media. The misnamed Wolfenden reforms legalised homosexual acts between consenting adults in Britain, which is as it should be. Even before Wolfenden though, most people took the view that as long as they didn't do it in the street and frighten the horses, it was nobody's business but their own. Alas, now they don't just want to frighten the horses, they want to normalise their perversion as well, and denounce us as bigots when we object to having it forced down our throats, or having our children indoctrinated with it. The love that once dared not speak its name is now shouting it from the rooftops, and excoriating anyone who doesn't share its *Weltanschauung*. The Boy Scouts of America is making a principled stand, and should not allow itself to be intimidated, threatened or blackmailed by this homophile tyranny. As for the homosexuals of America and the world, they should disappear back into

the closet where they belong. When they do that, they'll be surprised at what a tolerant place the world really is.

[The above article was published originally February 7, 2013. The title was not mine; this was an assignment. Kudos especially to Silverberg for this one. I have made one or two tiny alterations/corrections to the original text.]

Scroll down for comments made shortly after publication.

12 hours ago by Cynthia Trowbridgeflagged as abuse - show comment #1

Good for you for speaking out publicly against homosexuals being leaders in the boy Scouts.

It is indeed being forced down our throats and those who object to it are attacked such as you are on Facebook. 11 hours ago by Raquel Teixeiraflagged as abuse - show comment #2

I don't believe in imposing beliefs on others. However, this article makes me sad. Why are we using sexual orientation as some all-defining identity for people. I don't identify people as chip eaters...or brown sweater people...because in our daily interaction, all of this irrelevant to me and even more importantly...none of my business. Even if you consider homosexuality to be harmful to the body...that is someone's right...as it is their body to harm. Also, to assume homosexuality leads to perversion or pedophilia is ignorant, to say the least...basic logic: just because I like something different from you doesn't make me an addict/fanatic. It makes me someone who like something different from you. This world is full of problems...starving people...poverty...pollution...but we're concerned about people's personal sexual orientations and assume that it means they are also sex addicts who cannot control themselves and go after the children. It's sad because you write very intelligently and I want to assume that only ignorant and uneducated people think this way. Why do people feel so threatened by homosexuality? Why does what someone does in their private life that is consensual affect and scare you so much? I don't get it and I never will and I feel a real sadness in my heart for people who have to hide who they are and want to be because people identify them as deviants or abnormal. :(I know a few people very close to me who have come to identify themselves as gay or having same-sex attractions...and if you met these people without knowing that...you would never judge them as a deviant or pervert...why does this one trait define someone?

9 hours ago by Anne Sewell flagged as abuse - show comment #3

Surely "queer" is not the PC term to use these days .. 50 years ago maybe. Rather use the correct term "gay".

9 hours ago by Alexander Baronflagged as abuse - show comment #4

The title of the assignment was *Should queer men be allowed in Boy Scouts?* Homosexuals use the word queer when they want, as in Queer

Nation. I prefer the neutral term homosexual, but am more than willing to use sodomite anytime I hear that sick euphemism.

8 hours ago by Anne Sewellflagged as abuse - show comment #5

OK, personally I don't find it necessary to use the exact title of the assignment, especially if the term is not my preferred one.
Show quoted comment from Alexander Baron
@**Alexander Baron**
The title of the assignment was *Should queer men be allowed in Boy Scouts?* Homosexuals use the word queer when they want, as in Queer Nation. I prefer the neutral term homosexual, but am more than willing to use sodomite anytime I hear that sick euphemism.

8 hours ago by Hans Smedbol flagged as abuse - show comment #6

more homophobic ranting here....utter bullshit as usual....there is NO homosexual agenda, other than to get bigots like you, Alexander, to stop posting such hateful material....and to get the Mainstream to just let them be who they are. they are not hurting you. they are not hurting your children...they are just as ethical and moral as you Alexander....(at least the ones i know)....it's time for anti gay hatred to be exposed for what it is HOMOPHOBIA and LIES.
homosexuals are not out to convert the world to homosexuality....they just want to be left alone to be gay with other gay people...they are NOT going to be bothering or going after "straights"....this is just pure bullshit and lying propaganda....and you don't even have the right Wing Christian Evangelical credentials to push this hateful agenda.....
seems to me that many of the most virulent anti gay people are the ones most concerned about their own sexuality, and worried whether THEY too might be gay....
it should make NO DIFFERENCE whatever, whether a Scout leader is a gay man or not....what counts is his CHARACTER....just because one is a gay person does not make him/her a sexual predator, like the paedophiles we have been hearing about....those people are NOT GAY....they are PAEDOPHILES....and there is NO connection between them...
some paedophiles like little girls, some like little boys....they are not gay...they are criminals....and being gay is not and never should have been a crime.

7 hours ago by Hans Smedbol flagged as abuse - show comment #7

as your argument stands, men should not be teaching girls, nor women should be teaching boys, because they might get accused of sexual

indiscretions...whether they do it or not. we probably should not have girls and boys in the same classrooms either by that reasoning...

7 hours ago by Alexander Baronflagged as abuse - show comment #8

Show quoted comment from Hans Smedbol
@**Hans Smedbol**
as your argument stands, men should not be teaching girls, nor women should be teaching boys, because they might get accused of sexual indiscretions...whether they do it or not. we probably should not have girls and boys in the same classrooms either by that reasoning...
That is not quite what I said. Doctors are sometimes accused falsely by women patients of interfering with them. There are reasons for this. If a woman is chaperponed for an intimate examination, that is less likely to arise. When the police question a suspect perhaps on his doorstep, there ensure there are two of them. Why do you think that is? It is only common sense. Who in her right mind would hire a male babysitter when she could hire a female? We shouldn't get paranoid about family members, family friends and such, but at the end of the day...

5 hours ago by Raquel Teixeira flagged as abuse - show comment #9

Show quoted comment from Alexander Baron
@**Alexander Baron**
Who in her right mind would hire a male babysitter when she could hire a female? We shouldn't get paranoid about family members, family friends and such, but at the end of the day...
In their right mind? It's that kind of statement that upsets me. ...Maybe someone who doesn't see humans in ONLY sexual ways. Maybe I have a male friend who is unbelievably genuine and sincere...oh wait...I do. I don't judge people based on their sex or their orientation...I judge them based on their responsibility, integrity and honesty....what category do those people fit in?

4 hours ago by Hans Smedbol flagged as abuse - show comment #10

i see what you mean about perceived risk....and i did address it slightly with the comment about teachers...because they are always at risk here of false accusations of sexual interference with a minor, if they don't do what their students want them to do....still that is not a good enough reason in my mind to exclude gay people from being scout and girl guide leaders....the problem is the lack of education....the lack of understanding about the difference between homosexuality and paederasty....paedophiles are unfortunately associated with gay people when they are NOT gay....they are

paedophiles....there is a big difference...your ordinary gay person is NOT a threat to young people....they are no more interested in sexual interference with minors than heterosexuals who are not paedophiles.....so in reality there should be no problem with gay people in the scouts or girl guides...they will NOT be influencing children to "become" gay, because people do NOT become gay...they are BORN gay....at least that is what all the gay people i know have ALWAYS told me....and i have no reason to disbelieve them having known some of them since my 20's (and i'm now 61)....and i've NEVER felt threatened by any of them....

1 hour ago by Steve K flagged as abuse - show comment #11

DJ headline ~ '**Should queer men be allowed in Boy Scouts?**'
... In a word: **NO!**
This news exposé provides compelling reasons why 'gays' should be banned, notwithstanding Obama's recent remarks. **Boy Scout files reveal repeat child abuse by sexual predators** ~ Los Angeles Times

52 mins ago by Steve K flagged as abuse - show comment #12

Per C-T [#1] Post:
I agree with Cynthia's remark that Alexander has posted a candid and appropriate article on this subject.
Per H-S [#6] Post:
I'm afraid Hans the only "utter bullshit as usual" is your constant retorts regarding views you don't share. Homosexuality is a sin, human depravity, according to the Bible. Its outcome is 'eternal death' in the afterlife; a very sobering prospect!